

**BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER,
TELANGANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY,
HYDERABAD.**

Dated, this the 28th day of AUGUST, 2024.

Present:- Sri Syed Lateef-ur Rahman,
Adjudicating Officer.

C.C.P.No.08/2024/TG RERA

Between:

Sri Marimuthu Krishnan.

...Complainant.

and

- 1) Sri Maddirala Rosi Reddy.
- 2) Sri Kota Vijaya Babu.
- 3) Sri Venkanna Reddy.
- 4) Sri Rohit.

...Respondents.

This complaint came up for hearing before me on this day in the presence of Sri Drupad Sangwan, Advocate for the complainant and after hearing and having stood over for consideration, the following order is passed:

ORDER

The present complaint has been filed under Section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred as "the Act") read with Rule 35 of the Telangana State Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred as "the Rules") to grant compensation.

2. The case of the complainant is that he entered into Sale Agreement dt.11.08.2021 with the respondents for purchase of Plot Nos.270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275 and 276 total admeasuring 1372.20 Sq.yards in Sy.Nos.282/P and 283/P in Project "Fortune Pharma City" situated at Nazdik Singaram village and Grampanchayat, Yacharam Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, and the respondents promised spot registration. Later on, the respondents failed to execute registered sale deed in the name of the

complainant till date and recently the complainant learnt that the respondents have changed their Company name as Lavoura Developers. Therefore, the complainant prays to grant compensation.

3. On registration of the complaint, notices were issued to the respondents at the addresses furnished in the complaint. But, the notices could not be served properly.

4. Later, when the matter was adjourned to hear the complainant regarding addresses of the respondents, the complainant filed a memo through his Counsel stating *inter alia* that the complainant intends to file a fresh complaint with comprehensive facts and appropriate reliefs and at last praying to permit the complainant to withdraw the complaint with liberty to file a fresh complaint.

5. Heard learned Counsel for complainant.

6. Perused the memo. In the facts and circumstances stated in the memo, the memo is allowed permitting the complainant to withdraw complaint with a liberty to file a fresh complaint.

7. In the result, the complaint is disposed off as “withdrawn” with liberty to the complainant to file a fresh complaint. No costs.

Typed to my dictation, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court on this, the 28th day of AUGUST, 2024.

Sd/-

**ADJUDICATING OFFICER,
TG RERA: HYDERABAD.**

**APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE
NIL**

Sd/-

**ADJUDICATING OFFICER,
TG RERA: HYDERABAD.**