#### BEFORE TELANGANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

[Under the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016]

# 11th September 2024

Quorum:

Dr. N. Satyanarayana, IAS (Retd.), Hon'ble Chairperson Sri K. Srinivasa Rao, Hon'ble Member Sri Laxmi Narayana Jannu, Hon'ble Member

### COMPLAINT NO.97 OF 2024

K Venkateshwara Rao

(Y Kiran

**Deepak Cherukuri** 

M.Anil

(R/so H.no. 3-6-643, fat no.202, Shreya Elegance Apartment, Street no. 9, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad – 500029)

...Complainant

#### Versus

## M/s Suvarnaavani Estate (India)Pvt Ltd

(Rep by Managing Dircetor Surender Kumar R/o Plot no. 44, 2nf floor, Banjara Green Colony, Banjara Hills Road no.12, Hyderabad - 500034)

TELANGANA REAL ESTATE REBULATURY ... Respondents

The present Complaint came up for hearing before this Authority on 11.09.2024. However, none appeared on behalf of either party despite due service of notice to the complainants and multiple opportunities extended by the Authority.

- 2. Initially, the Complainants appeared before this Authority on 30.07.2024 at the stage of admission of the Complaint. At that time, it was recorded that the notices sent to the Respondent were returned with the postal endorsement "Addressee Left". Accordingly, the Complainants were directed to personally serve notice to the Respondent, and the matter was adjourned to 20.08.2024.
- 3. On 20.08.2024, once again, none appeared on behalf of either party. Upon perusal of the records, it was brought to the notice of the Authority that the Complainants had not collected the notices from the registry in order to serve them upon the Respondent, as previously directed. Therefore, the Authority directed issuance of fresh notices to both parties and adjourned the matter to 11.09.2024.

- 4. On 11.09.2024, the Complainants failed to appear before this Authority and did not take any steps to pursue their Complaint. Further, they did not collect the notices from the registry, nor did they make any effort to effect service on the Respondent.
- 5. In view of the consistent non-appearance of the Complainants and their failure to comply with directions of this Authority, it appears that the Complainants are no longer interested in prosecuting the Complaint.
- 6. Hence, this Complaint is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd-Sri. K. Srinivas Rao, Hon'ble Member TG RERA Sd-Sri. Laxmi NaryanaJannu, Hon'ble Member TG RERA

Sd-Dr. N. Satyanarayana, IAS (Retd.), Hon'ble Chairperson TG RERA

