### BEFORE TELANGANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

[Under the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016]

# Complaint No. 194 of 2024 25th of April, 2025

Corum: Dr. N. Satyanarayana, IAS (Retd.), Hon'ble Chairperson

Sri K. Srinivasa Rao, Hon'ble Member

Sri Laxmi Narayana Jannu, Hon'ble Member

## **Devi Linga Reddy**

(Flat No.7, Second floor, Amar Apartments East Maredpally, Hyderabad, Telangana - ...Complainant

### Versus

## M/s. Aditya Construction Company India(P) Ltd,

(Represented through its Authorized Representative)
Aditya Mansion, Plot No. 29/A, Road No.5, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, Telangana – 500081

...Respondent

The Complainant has filed complaint on hand under Section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as the "RE(R&D) Act"), read with Rule 34(1) of the Telangana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as the "Rules"), alleging commission of violation and contravening of the provisions of the said Act and Rules and sought for the appropriate reliefs against the Respondent.

- 2. The matter was listed before this Authority on 22.04.2025. The Complainant appeared in person and the Respondent was represented through learned Counsel, Sri Srinivas Achanta and Smt. Aruna Kumari.
- 3. During the course of proceedings, it was brought to the notice of the Authority that both parties had mutually executed a Settlement Memo dated 26.03.2025, in terms of which certain works were to be completed by the Respondent.
- 4. Upon perusal of the records and hearing the submissions of the parties, it was noted that although the Settlement Memo was filed earlier, the Complainant had submitted that compliance with respect to certain works was still pending. Consequently, the Authority, vide its interim direction, had granted time to the Respondent to ensure full compliance with the terms of the Settlement Memo.

- 5. On the next date of hearing, i.e., 22.04.2025, both the Complainant and the Respondent appeared, submitted that the agreed works had been duly completed and that the Complainant had no further grievance.
- 6. In view of the Settlement Memo dated 26.03.2025 and the submissions made by the Complainant, the Authority is satisfied that the dispute between the parties has been amicably resolved.
- 7. Accordingly, the present Complaint stands disposed of as withdrawn.
- 8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-Sri. K. Srinivasa Rao, Hon'ble Member TG RERA Sd/-Sri. Laxmi Naryana Jannu, Hon'ble Member TG RERA Sd/-Dr. N. Satyanarayana, IAS (Retd.), Hon'ble Chairperson TG RERA

