

**BEFORE TELANGANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

*[Under the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016]*

**Complaint No. 34 of 2025**

**23<sup>rd</sup> July 2025**

**Quorum:** **Dr. N. Satyanarayana, IAS (Retd.), Hon'ble Chairperson**  
**Sri K. Srinivasa Rao, Hon'ble Member**  
**Sri Laxmi Narayana Jannu, Hon'ble Member**

Md Ifteqaruddin Farooqui  
(R/o H.No. 8-13-139/1/p/187,  
Kings Colony, Near Shastrapuram Road,  
Hyderabad 500052)

**... Complainant**

**Versus**

M/s. Jayathri Infrastructures Pvt Ltd  
(Rep. by Sri. Kakarla Srinivas  
Plot No. 140 & 141, Eminent Plaza,  
6<sup>th</sup> Phase, KPHB Colony, Kukatpally,  
Hyderabad - 500052)

**... Respondent**

The present matter filed by the Complainant herein came up for hearing on 10.07.2025 before this Authority, none appeared for Complainant and the Respondent, further this Authority passes the following **ORDER:**

2. This Complaint has been filed under Section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as the "RE(R&D) Act" read with Rule 34(1) of the Telangana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as the "Rules") seeking directions from this Authority to take action against the Respondent.
3. The matter was listed for hearing on 06.03.2025, on the said date; none appeared on behalf of either the Complainant or the Respondent. Accordingly, the matter was adjourned with a direction to issue fresh notices to all parties. Thereafter, the complaint was listed again on 09.04.2025 and 10.06.2025. On both these dates as well, there was no representation on behalf of either side. No written submissions or explanations were received by the Authority.
4. As a matter of due process, the Authority granted a final opportunity and again directed issuance of fresh notices to both parties.

5. The matter was finally posted for hearing on 10.07.2025. On the said date, there was no representation on behalf of either the Complainant or the Respondents. The records indicate that the notices issued to both parties were returned with the postal endorsement indicating “insufficient address,” as filed by the Complainant in the records of this Authority. Efforts were made to reach the parties through alternate modes of communication; however, no response was received from either side. In view of continued non-appearance and lack of prosecution.

6. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**Sd/-**

**Sri. K. Srinivasa Rao,  
Hon’ble Member**

**TG RERA**

**Sd/-**

**Sri. Laxmi Naryana Jannu,  
Hon’ble Member**

**TG RERA**

**Sd/-**

**Dr. N. Satyanarayana, IAS (Retd.),  
Hon’ble Chairperson**

**TG RERA**

